

A weak compactness theorem of the Donaldson-Thomas instantons on compact Kähler threefolds, I

Yuuji Tanaka

Abstract

We prove that a sequence $\{(A_n, u_n)\}$ of the Donaldson-Thomas instantons of an $SU(2)$ vector bundle over a compact Kähler threefold Y has a converging subsequence outside a closed subset S in Y , whose real 2-dimensional Hausdorff measure is finite, provided that the L^2 norms of $\det u_n$ are uniformly bounded.

1 Introduction

Let Y be a compact Kähler threefold with the Kähler form ω , and $E = (E, h)$ a hermitian vector bundle of rank r over Y . We consider the following equations for a connection A of E , which preserves the hermitian structure of E , and an $\text{End}(E)$ -valued $(0,3)$ -form u on Y :

$$F_A^{0,2} + \bar{\partial}_A^* u = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$F_A^{1,1} \wedge \omega^2 + [u, \bar{u}] = \lambda(E) I_E \omega^3, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\lambda(E)$ is a constant defined by

$$\lambda(E) := \frac{3(c_1(E) \cdot [\omega]^2)}{r[\omega]^3}.$$

We call these equations the *Donaldson-Thomas equations*, and a solution (A, u) to these equations *Donaldson-Thomas instanton*.

In [Ta1], we studied local structures of the moduli space of the Donaldson-Thomas instantons such as the infinitesimal deformation and the Kuranishi map of the moduli space. In this article, we prove a weak compactness theorem of the Donaldson-Thomas instantons. This describes bubbling phenomena of the Donaldson-Thomas instantons at the initial phase.

Bubbling phenomena of Yang-Mills fields were first studied by K. K. Uhlenbeck [U1], [U2]. H. Nakajima studied those in higher dimensions [N]. G. Tian [Ti] also intensively studied those by using a geometric measure theoretic method developed by F-H. Lin [L].

In this article, applying the method to the Donaldson-Thomas instantons, we prove the following:

Theorem 5.1 . *Let $\{(A_n, u_n)\}$ be a sequence of Donaldson-Thomas instantons of an $SU(2)$ vector bundle over a compact Kähler threefold Y . We assume that $\int_Y |\det u_n|^2 dV_g$ are uniformly bounded. Then there exist a subsequence $\{(A_{n_j}, u_{n_j})\}$ of $\{(A_n, u_n)\}$, a closed subset S of Y whose real 2-dimensional Hausdorff measure is finite, and a sequence of gauge transformations $\{\sigma_j\}$ over $Y \setminus S$ such that $\{\sigma_j^*(A_{n_j}, u_{n_j})\}$ converges to a Donaldson-Thomas instanton over $Y \setminus S$.*

In order to prove Theorem 5.1, we introduce an energy functional

$$L := \int_Y \mathcal{L}(A, u) dV_g,$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}(A, u) := |F_A|^2 + |D_A^* v|^2 + |[u, \bar{u}]|^2,$$

and $v := u + \bar{u}$. We prove a monotonicity formula (Theorem 3.1) for this energy functional L and an estimate (Theorem 4.1) for the energy density $\mathcal{L}(A, u)$. Theorem 5.1 is a consequence of those monotonicity formula and estimate.

Notations: Throughout this article, we denote positive constants which depend only on the Riemannian metric of Y and E by C or z with numerical subscripts.

Acknowledgments The author would like to thank Mikio Furuta, Ryoichi Kobayashi, and Hiroshi Konno for valuable comments. He is grateful to Katrin Wehrheim for wonderful encouragement.

2 Energy functional

Let Y be a compact Kähler threefolds, $E = (E, h)$ a hermitian vector bundle over Y . We denote by $\mathcal{A}(E) = \mathcal{A}(E, h)$ the set of connections of E which preserve the hermitian structure of $E = (E, h)$. Put

$$\Omega^{0,3}(Y, \text{End}(E)) := A^{0,3}(Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \Omega^0(Y, \text{End}(E)),$$

where $A^{0,3}(Y)$ is the space of real $(0, 3)$ -forms over Y and $\text{End}(E) = \text{End}(E, h)$ is the bundle of skew-hermitian endmorphisms of E , and

$$\mathcal{C}(E) := \mathcal{A}(E) \times \Omega^{0,3}(Y, \text{End}(E)).$$

We denote by $\mathcal{G}(E)$ the gauge group, where the action of the gauge group is defined in the usual way.

We consider the following energy functional L on $\mathcal{C}(E)$:

$$L(A, u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_Y \{|F_A|^2 + |D_A^* v|^2 + |[u, \bar{u}]|^2\} dV_g. \quad (2.1)$$

where $v := u + \bar{u}$. We denote by $\mathcal{L}(A, u)$ the density of $L(A, u)$, namely,

$$\mathcal{L}(A, u) := |F_A|^2 + |D_A^* v|^2 + |[u, \bar{u}]|^2.$$

A critical point (A, u) of this functional satisfies the following equations:

$$D_A^* F_A = \Lambda(*v)(*D_A^*(v)), \quad (2.2)$$

$$D_A D_A^*(u) = \Lambda(\bar{u})[u, \bar{u}], \quad (2.3)$$

where $\Lambda(a) := - * a *$ for $a \in \Omega^3(Y, \text{End}(E))$. The Donaldson-Thomas instantons give its local minima of the functional L . In fact, the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_Y \{|F_A|^2 + |D_A^* v|^2 + |[u, \bar{u}]|^2\} dV_g \\ &= \int_Y \{4|F_A^{0,2}|^2 + |\bar{\partial}_A^* u|^2 + |F_A^{1,1} \wedge \omega^2 + [u, \bar{u}] - \lambda I \omega^3|^2\} \\ & \quad + (2c_2(E) - c_1(E)^2) \cdot [\omega] + \frac{3(c_1(E) \cdot [\omega]^2)^2}{2r[\omega]^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

3 Monotonicity formula

In this section, we prove a monotonicity formula for the energy L , namely,

Theorem 3.1. *For any $y \in Y$, there exists a positive constant r_y such that*

for any $0 < \sigma < \rho < r_y$, the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\rho^2} e^{a\rho^2} \int_{B_\rho(y)} (|F_A|^2 + |D_A^* v|^2 + |[u, \bar{u}]|^2) dV_g \\
& - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} e^{a\sigma^2} \int_{B_\sigma(y)} (|F_A|^2 + |D_A^* v|^2 + |[u, \bar{u}]|^2) dV_g \\
& \geq \int_\sigma^\rho 4\tau^{-3} e^{a\tau^2} \int_{B_\tau(y)} |[u, \bar{u}]|^2 dV_g d\tau \\
& + 4 \int_{B_\rho(y) \setminus B_\sigma(y)} r^{-2} e^{ar^2} \left(\left| F_A \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \cdot \right) \right|^2 + \left| D_A^* v \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \cdot \right) \right|^2 \right) dV_g,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where a is a constant which depends only on Y . Moreover, the equality in (3.1) holds for $\rho \in (0, \infty)$ and $a = 0$ if $Y = (\mathbb{C}^3, g_0)$.

proof. Let $\{\varphi_t\}$ be a one-parameter family of diffeomorphisms of Y . We fix a connection A_0 of E , and denote by D its covariant derivative. For $(A, u) \in \mathcal{C}(E)$ we define a one-parameter family $\{(A_t, u_t)\}$ in the following way: Let τ_t^0 be the parallel transport of E associated to A_0 along the path $\varphi_{\bar{t}}(y)_{0 \leq \bar{t} \leq t}$, where $y \in Y$. We define A_t by

$$D_X^t s := (\tau_t^0)^{-1} (D_{d\varphi_t(X)} (\tau_t^0(s))) \tag{3.2}$$

for any $X \in TX$ and $s \in \Gamma(Y, E)$. And, we put $u_t := \varphi_t^* u$ for $u \in \Omega^{0,3}(Y, \text{End}(E))$.

Let $X := \frac{\partial \varphi_t}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0}$ be a vector field on Y . Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} L(A_t, u_t) \Big|_{t=0} &= - \int_Y \left(|F_A|^2 \text{div} X - 4 \sum \langle F_A(\nabla_{e_i} X, e_j), F_A(e_i, e_j) \rangle \right) dV_g \\
& - \int_Y \left(|D_A^* v|^2 \text{div} X - 4 \sum \langle D_A^* v(\nabla_{e_i} X, e_j), D_A^* v(e_i, e_j) \rangle \right) dV_g \\
& - \int_Y |[u, \bar{u}]|^2 \text{div} X dV_g
\end{aligned}$$

For $y \in Y$, we denote by r_y a positive number which satisfies the following: there exist normal coordinates $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_6)$ in the geodesic ball $B_{r_y}(y)$ such that

$$|g_{ij} - \delta_{ij}| \leq c(y)(|\eta_1|^2 + \dots + |\eta_6|^2), \tag{3.3}$$

$$|dg_{ij}| \leq c(y) \sqrt{|\eta_1|^2 + \dots + |\eta_6|^2} \tag{3.4}$$

for some positive constant $c(y)$. Note that the constants r_y and $c(y)$ depend only on the injective radius at $y \in Y$ and the curvature of Y .

We denote by $r(\eta)$ the distance function from y , and by ϕ a positive function on the unit sphere S^5 . We define a cut-off vector field X by

$$X(\eta) := \xi(r)\phi\left(\frac{\eta}{r}\right)r\frac{\partial}{\partial r},$$

where ξ is a smooth function with compact support in $B_{r_y}(y)$.

Let $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_6\}$ be the orthonormal basis at y with $e_1 = \partial/\partial r$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} &= 0, \\ \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial r}} X &= (\xi' r + \xi)\phi(\theta)\frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \\ \nabla_{e_i} X &= \xi r e_i \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \xi \phi \sum_{j=1}^6 b_{ij} e_j \quad (i \geq 2), \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where $\theta = \eta/r$ and $|b_{ij} - \delta_{ij}| = z_1 c(y) r^2$, where z_1 is a positive constant. From these, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_Y |F_A|^2 (\xi' r + 2\xi) \phi dV_g + \int_Y |D_A^* v|^2 (\xi' r + 2\xi) \phi dV_g \\ &+ \int_Y |[u, \bar{u}]|^2 (\xi' + 6\xi) \phi dV_g + \int_Y \mathcal{L}(A, u) z_1 c(p) r^2 \xi \phi dV_g \\ &= 4 \int_Y \left\{ \xi' r \phi \left| F_A \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \cdot \right) \right|^2 + \xi r \left\langle F_A \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \cdot \right), F_A(\nabla \phi, \cdot) \right\rangle \right\} \\ &+ 4 \int_Y \left\{ \xi' r \phi \left| D_A^* v \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \cdot \right) \right|^2 + \xi r \left\langle D_A^* v \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \cdot \right), D_A^* v(\nabla \phi, \cdot) \right\rangle \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\chi(r)$ be a function which is smooth and satisfies $\chi(r) = 1$ for $r \in [0, 1]$, $\chi(r) = 0$ for $r \in [1 + \varepsilon, \infty)$ where $\varepsilon > 0$, and $\chi'(r) \leq 0$. We choose $\xi(r) = \xi_\tau(r) := \chi(r/\tau)$ for τ small enough. Then we obtain

$$\tau \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} (\xi_\tau(r)) = -r \xi'_\tau(r). \tag{3.6}$$

From this, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left(\tau^{-2} e^{a\tau^2} \int_Y \xi_\tau \phi |F_A|^2 \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left(\tau^{-2} e^{a\tau^2} \int_Y \xi_\tau \phi |D_A^* v|^2 \right) \\
& \quad + \tau^4 \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left(\tau^{-6} e^{a\tau^2} \int_Y \xi_\tau \phi |[u, \bar{u}]|^2 \right) \\
& \geq 4\tau^{-2} e^{a\tau^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left(\int_Y \xi_\tau \phi \left| F_A \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \cdot \right) \right|^2 \right) \\
& \quad + 4\tau^{-2} e^{a\tau^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left(\int_Y \xi_\tau \phi \left| D_A^* v \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \cdot \right) \right|^2 \right) \\
& \quad - 4\tau^{-3} e^{a\tau} \int_Y \xi_t r \left\langle F_A \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \cdot \right), F_A (\nabla \phi, \cdot) \right\rangle \\
& \quad - 4\tau^{-3} e^{a\tau} \int_Y \xi_t r \left\langle D_A^* v \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \cdot \right), D_A^* v (\nabla \phi, \cdot) \right\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where a is a positive constant with $a \geq z_1 c(y)$.

Integrating this on τ and letting ε go to zero, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho^{-2} e^{a\rho^2} \int_{B_\rho(y)} \phi \mathcal{L}(A, u) dV_g - \sigma^{-2} e^{a\rho^2} \int_{B_\sigma(y)} \phi \mathcal{L}(A, u) dV_g \\
& \geq -4 \int_\sigma^\rho \tau^{-3} e^{a\tau} \int_{B_\tau(y)} r \left(\left| F_A \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \cdot \right) \right| |F_A(\nabla \phi, \cdot)| \right) dV_g d\tau \\
& \quad - 4 \int_\sigma^\rho \tau^{-3} e^{a\tau} \int_{B_\tau(y)} r \left(\left| D_A^* v \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \cdot \right) \right| |D_A^* v(\nabla \phi, \cdot)| \right) dV_g d\tau \\
& \quad + \int_\sigma^\rho 4\tau^{-3} e^{a\tau^2} \int_{B_\tau(y)} \phi |[u, \bar{u}]|^2 dV_g d\tau \\
& \quad + 4 \int_{B_\rho(y) \setminus B_\sigma(y)} r^{-2} e^{a\tau^2} \phi \left(\left| F_A \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \cdot \right) \right|^2 + \left| D_A^* v \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \cdot \right) \right|^2 \right) dV_g.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves Theorem 3.1. □

4 An Estimate

In this section, we prove the following estimate.

Theorem 4.1. *Let (A, u) be a Donaldson-Thomas instanton. Then there exist constants $\varepsilon > 0$, $C_1 > 0$ such that for any $y \in Y$ and $0 < \rho < r_y$, if*

$$\frac{1}{\rho^2} \int_{B_\rho(y)} \mathcal{L}(A, u) dV_g \leq \varepsilon,$$

then

$$\sqrt{\mathcal{L}(A, u)}(y) \leq \frac{C_1}{\rho^2} \left(\frac{1}{\rho^2} \int_{B_\rho(y)} \mathcal{L}(A, u) dV_g \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

proof. First, we prove

Lemma 4.2.

$$\Delta \sqrt{\mathcal{L}} \geq -z_2 \sqrt{\mathcal{L}} - z_3 \mathcal{L} \quad (4.1)$$

proof. By using the Weitzenböck formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta |F_A|^2 &= 2|\nabla_A F_A|^2 + 2\langle \nabla_A^2 F_A, F_A \rangle \\ &= 2|\nabla_A F_A|^2 - 2\langle D_A D_A^* F_A + R(Y) \# F_A + F_A \# F_A, F_A \rangle \\ &\geq 2|\nabla_A F_A|^2 - 2(|R_Y| + |F_A|)|F_A|^2 - 2|F_A|(|D_A^* v| + |F_A|), \\ \Delta |D_A^* v|^2 &= 2|\nabla_A D_A^* v|^2 + 2\langle \nabla_A^2 D_A^* v, D_A^* v \rangle \\ &= 2|\nabla_A D_A^* v|^2 - 2\langle D_A D_A^* D_A^* v + R(Y) \# D_A^* v + F_A \# D_A^* v, D_A^* v \rangle \\ &\geq 2|\nabla_A D_A^* v|^2 - 2(|R_Y| + |F_A|)|D_A^* v|^2 \\ &\quad - 2|D_A^*|^2|[u, \bar{u}]| - 6|D_A^*|^2 - 2|F_A||D_A^*|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta |[u, \bar{u}]|^2 &= 2|\nabla_A [u, \bar{u}]|^2 + 2\langle \nabla_A^2 [u, \bar{u}], [u, \bar{u}] \rangle \\ &\geq 2|\nabla_A [u, \bar{u}]|^2 - 2(|R_Y| + |F_A|)|[u, \bar{u}]|^2 - 8|[u, \bar{u}]|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where R_Y is the Riemannian curvature tensor of Y . From these, we obtain

$$\Delta \mathcal{L} \geq 2|\nabla_A F_A|^2 + 2|\nabla_A D_A^* v|^2 + 2|\nabla_A [u, \bar{u}]|^2 - z_4 \mathcal{L} - z_5 \mathcal{L}^{\frac{3}{2}}. \quad (4.2)$$

We also have

$$\Delta \mathcal{L} = 2|\nabla \sqrt{\mathcal{L}}|^2 + 2\sqrt{\mathcal{L}} \Delta \sqrt{\mathcal{L}} \quad (4.3)$$

$$|\nabla \sqrt{\mathcal{L}}|^2 \leq |\nabla |F_A||^2 + |\nabla |D_A^* v||^2 + |\nabla |[u, \bar{u}]|^2. \quad (4.4)$$

Thus, from (5), (4.3) and (4.4)

$$\Delta \sqrt{\mathcal{L}} \geq -z_6 \sqrt{\mathcal{L}} - z_7 \mathcal{L} \quad (4.5)$$

□

Next, we put

$$f(r) := (\rho - 2r)^2 \sup_{x \in B_r(y)} \sqrt{\mathcal{L}}(x),$$

where $r \in [0, \rho/2]$. This function is continuous, thus it attains its maximum at some r_0 .

Lemma 4.3.

$$f(r_0) \leq 64.$$

proof. Suppose that $f(r_0) > 64$. We write

$$\ell := \sup_{x \in B_{r_0}(y)} \sqrt{\mathcal{L}}(x) = \sqrt{\mathcal{L}}(x_0),$$

and take $\sigma = (\rho - 2r_0)/4$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{B_\sigma(x_0)} \sqrt{\mathcal{L}} &\leq \sup_{x \in B_{r_0+\sigma}(y)} \sqrt{\mathcal{L}} \\ &\leq \frac{(\rho - 2r_0)^2}{(\rho - 2r_0 - 2\sigma)^2} \sup_{x \in B_{r_0}(y)} \sqrt{\mathcal{L}} \\ &= 4\ell \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

From the assumption $f(r_0) > 64$, we have $\sigma\sqrt{\ell} \geq 2$. We define a metric $\tilde{g} := \ell g$, then

$$\sup_{x \in B_2(x_0, \tilde{g})} \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{g}}} \leq 4. \tag{4.7}$$

On the other hand, from (3), we obtain

$$\Delta_{\tilde{g}} \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{g}}} \geq -z_8 \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{g}}} - z_9 \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{g}}. \tag{4.8}$$

Thus,

$$\Delta_{\tilde{g}} \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{g}}} \geq -z_{10} \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{g}}}. \tag{4.9}$$

By the mean value theorem, or the Moser iteration argument, we obtain

$$1 = \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{g}}}(x_0) \leq z_{11} \left(\int_{B_1(x_0, \tilde{g})} \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{g}} dV_{\tilde{g}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{4.10}$$

Also, by the monotonicity formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_1(x_0, \tilde{g})} \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{g}} dV_{\tilde{g}} &= (\sqrt{\ell})^2 \int_{B_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell}}}(x_0, \tilde{g})} \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{g}} dV_{\tilde{g}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{(\rho/2)^2} e^{a(\rho/2)^2} \int_{B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}(x_0, \tilde{g})} \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{g}} dV_{\tilde{g}} \\
&\leq 2^2 e^{(a/4)\rho^2} \varepsilon.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Thus,

$$1 \leq z_{12} \left(2^2 e^{(a/4)\rho^2} \varepsilon \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{4.12}$$

This is a contradiction, since it is not possible if ε is small enough. $\square$

From Lemma 4.3, we obtain

$$\sup_{x \in B_{\rho/4}(x_0)} \rho^2 \sqrt{\mathcal{L}} \leq 4f(r_0) \leq z_{13} \tag{4.13}$$

We define a metric again by $\tilde{g}' := \rho^{-2} \tilde{g}$. Then we obtain

$$\Delta_{\tilde{g}'} \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{g}'}} \geq -z_{14} \sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{g}'}}. \tag{4.14}$$

From this and using the Moser iteration argument again, we obtain Theorem 4.1. $\square$

5 A weak convergence

In this section, we assume that E is an $SU(2)$ vector bundle, and prove a weak convergence of a sequence of Donaldson-Thomas instantons :

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\{(A_n, u_n)\}$ be a sequence of Donaldson-Thomas instantons of an $SU(2)$ vector bundle over a compact Kähler threefold Y . We assume that $\int_Y |\det u_n|^2 dV_g$ are uniformly bounded. Then there exist a subsequence $\{(A_{n_j}, u_{n_j})\}$ of $\{(A_n, u_n)\}$, a closed subset S of Y whose real 2-dimensional Hausdorff measure is finite, and a sequence of gauge transformations $\{\sigma_j\}$ over $Y \setminus S$ such that $\{\sigma_j^*(A_{n_j}, u_{n_j})\}$ converges to a Donaldson-Thomas instanton over $Y \setminus S$.*

proof. First, we consider a set

$$S_{i,r} := \{y \in Y \mid r^{-2}e^{ar^2} \int_{B_r(y)} \mathcal{L}(A_i, u_i) dV_g \geq \varepsilon\}$$

for each i and $r > 0$. From the monotonicity formula,

$$S_{i,r} \subset S_{i,r'}$$

for $r \leq r'$. Let us consider $S_{i,2^{-k}}$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, by passing through subsequences and taking the diagonal process, we obtain a subsequence $S_{j,2^{-k}}$ which converges to a closed subset $S_{2^{-k}}$ for each k . We have $S_{2^{-k}} \subset S_{2^{-\ell}}$ for $\ell \leq k$. We put

$$S := \bigcap_k S_{2^{-k}}.$$

Lemma 5.2.

$$\mathcal{H}^2(S) < \infty.$$

proof. Let K be a compact subset of Y , and $\{B_{4\delta}(y_\alpha)\}$ a covering of $S \cap K$ such that

1. $y_\alpha \in S \cap K$,
2. $B_{2\delta}(y_\alpha) \cap B_{2\delta}(y_\beta) = \emptyset$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$.

We take k with $2^{-k} < \delta$. Then, for j sufficiently large, we can find $y'_\alpha \in S_{j,2^{-k}}$ such that $d(y_\alpha, y'_\alpha) < \delta$ for each α . Then $\{B_{5\delta}(y'_\alpha)\}$ is a finite covering of $S \cap K$, and $B_\delta(y'_\alpha) \cap B_\delta(y'_\beta) = \emptyset$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$. From the monotonicity formula,

$$\delta^{-2}e^{a\delta^2} \int_{B_\delta(y_\alpha)} \mathcal{L}(A_j, u_j) dV_g \geq 2^{2k}e^{a2^{-2k}} \int_{B_{2^{-k}}(y_\alpha)} \mathcal{L}(A_j, u_j) dV_g \geq \varepsilon. \quad (5.1)$$

Thus, we obtain

$$\sum_\alpha \delta^2 \leq \frac{e^a}{\varepsilon} \sum_\alpha \int_{B_\delta(y_\alpha)} \mathcal{L}(A_j, u_j) dV_g \leq \frac{z_{15}e^a}{\varepsilon}. \quad (5.2)$$

Hence, $\mathcal{H}^2(K \cap S)$ is finite. $\square$

Next, we take a point y in $M \setminus S$. By the definition of the set S , we can find a number $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{r^2} \int_{B_r(y)} \mathcal{L}(A_j, u_j) dV < \varepsilon$$

for any $j \geq N$. Thus, from Theorem 4.1, there exists a uniform constant $z_{16} > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{B_1(y)} \mathcal{L}(A_j, u_j) \leq z_{16}\varepsilon. \quad (5.3)$$

From this, we can find the Coulomb gauges $\tilde{\sigma}_j$ by Uhlenbeck [U2] such that

$$\begin{aligned} d^* \tilde{\sigma}_j(A_j) &= 0 & \text{over } B_1(y), \\ d_\psi^* \tilde{\sigma}_{j,\psi}(A_{j,\psi}) &= 0 & \text{over } \partial B_1(y), \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

where ψ indicates the restriction to the boundary $\partial B_1(y)$. Furthermore, we have

$$\|\tilde{\sigma}_j(A_j)\|_{L^\infty(B_1(y))} \leq z_{17} \|F_{\tilde{\sigma}_j(A_j)}\|_{L^\infty(B_1(y))}. \quad (5.5)$$

On the other hand, if M is a 2×2 trace-free matrix, we have

$$\text{Tr}(MM^* - M^*M)^2 = 2\text{Tr}(MM^*)^2 - 4|\det M|^2. \quad (5.6)$$

From $\frac{1}{2}(\text{Tr}MM^*)^2 \leq \text{Tr}(MM^*)^2$, we obtain

$$|M|^4 \leq |[M, M^*]|^2 + 4|\det M|^2. \quad (5.7)$$

From the Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\int_Y |u_n|^2 dV_g \leq z_{18} \left(\int_Y |u_n|^4 dV_g \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (5.8)$$

Thus, we obtain

$$z_{19} \|u_n\|_{L^2}^4 \leq \|[u_n, \bar{u}_n]\|_{L^2}^2 + 4 \int_Y |\det u_n|^2. \quad (5.9)$$

Since the equations (1.1) and (1.2) are gauge invariant, therefore, each $(\tilde{\sigma}_j(A_j), \tilde{\sigma}_j(u_j))$ satisfies the Donaldson-Thomas equations. Furthermore, the equations (1.1) and (1.2) with the first equation in (17) form an elliptic system, thus, by the standard elliptic theory, the bounds on derivatives of $(\tilde{\sigma}_j(A_j), \tilde{\sigma}_j(u_j))$ are uniform. Hence, there exists a subsequence which converges to a Donaldson-Thomas instanton (A', u') on $B_{\frac{1}{2}}(y)$ in smooth topology.

Now, we cover $Y \setminus S$ by a countable union of ball $B_{r_\alpha}(y_\alpha)$ with

$$\frac{1}{64r_\alpha^2} \int_{B_{8r_\alpha}(y_\alpha)} \mathcal{L}(A_j, u_j) dV_g \leq \varepsilon. \quad (5.10)$$

Repeating the same procedure above on each $B_{8r_\alpha}(y_\alpha)$, and by taking a subsequence, we obtain a sequence of gauge transformations $\tilde{\sigma}_{j,\alpha}$ such that $\tilde{\sigma}_{j,\alpha}(A_j, u_j)$ converges to a Donaldson-Thomas instanton (A'_α, u'_α) on $B_{r_\alpha}(y_\alpha)$. Thus, by using the standard diagonal argument, we obtain a subsequence $\{(A_{n_j}, u_{n_j})\}$ and a sequence of gauge transformations σ_j on $Y \setminus S$ such that $\sigma_j(A_{n_j}, u_{n_j})$ converges to a Donaldson-Thomas instanton on $Y \setminus S$. $\square$

References

- [GT] David Gilbarg and Neil S. Trudinger. *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, volume 224 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1983.
- [L] Fang-Hua Lin. Gradient estimates and blow-up analysis for stationary harmonic maps. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 149(3):785–829, 1999.
- [M] Charles B. Morrey, Jr. *Multiple integrals in the calculus of variations*. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 130. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., New York, 1966.
- [N] Hiraku Nakajima. Compactness of the moduli space of Yang-Mills connections in higher dimensions. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 40(3):383–392, 1988.
- [Ta1] Yuuji Tanaka. The space of the Donaldson-Thomas instantons on compact Kähler threefolds, I. arXiv:0805.2192.
- [Ti] Gang Tian. Gauge theory and calibrated geometry. I. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 151(1):193–268, 2000.
- [U1] Karen K. Uhlenbeck. Connections with L^p bounds on curvature. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 83(1):31–42, 1982.
- [U2] Karen K. Uhlenbeck. Removable singularities in Yang-Mills fields. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 83(1):11–29, 1982.

Department of Mathematics, M.I.T.
 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge MA 02139, USA.
e-mail: tanaka@math.mit.edu